### **DECISION MEMORANDUM**

TO:

COMMISSIONER KJELLANDER

COMMISSIONER REDFORD COMMISSIONER SMITH COMMISSION SECRETARY

LEGAL

WORKING FILE

FROM:

**CAROLEE HALL** 

DATE:

MARCH 19, 2013

RE:

AMENDMENT ONE TO THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

BETWEEN CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OF IDAHO ("FRONTIER") AND CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

("CRICKET"); CASE NO. CTC-T-05-03.

#### BACKGROUND

Under the provision of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement:

- (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements "may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do not comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251 (b) or (c)." Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC's statement that "a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51]." 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

# **CURRENT APPLICATION**

Frontier filed to amend its Interconnection Agreement with Cricket Communications, Inc. that was approved by this Commission on August 29, 2005. See Commission Order No. 29858.

This Agreement is amended to incorporate the terms and conditions set forth the Federal Communications Commission *USF/ICC Transformation Order*. *See* FCC 11-161.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff has reviewed the amendment between Frontier and Cricket and finds it compatible with federal and state guidelines. Moreover, Staff believes that this filing is consistent with the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Accordingly, Staff recommends approval.

#### COMMISSION DECISION

Does the Commission agree?

Carolee Hall

udmemos/ interconnection agreements/CTC-T-05-03 Amendment One to the Interconnection Agreement between Citizens Telecommunications Company of Idahoand Cricket Communications, Inc. 3-19-2013